arXiv:1208.0905v1 [math.FA] 4 Aug 2012

IN THE AMEMIYA-ANDO PROBLEM 3 IS ENOUGH

Adam Paszkiewicz

1. The main result. We shall prove the following

1.1. Theorem. *In any infinite dimensional Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$ *there exist orthogonal projections* Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 *such that a sequence* $P_n \dots P_1 x$ *diverges in norm for some* $P_n \in \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$, $n \geq 1$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Moreover, quait simply sequence (P_n) can be taken. Namely there exist $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in \operatorname{Proj}\mathcal{H}$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and numbers $m(i)$, $p(i) \geq 1$ such that

$$\prod_{1\leq i\leq j} (Q_3(Q_2Q_1Q_2)^{p_i})Q_3)^{m_i}x$$

diverges in norm for $j \to \infty$. In the whole paper $\prod_{1\leq i\leq j} A_i$ will be a short notation for $A_j \dots A_1$, for any $A_1, \dots, A_j \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

By capital letters D, E, F, G, P, Q, R we shall always denote orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$. By small letters e, f, p, q we shall always denote some vectors in $\mathcal{H}$, of norm 1; e' is always orthogonal to e ($f' \perp f$ and so on). Then $\hat{e}\cdot = \langle \cdot, e\rangle e$ is a one-dimensional projection and always $\hat{e}' \perp \hat{e}$, then $\hat{f} \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ means that $f \in \operatorname{lin}(e, e')$.

Theorem 1.1 it is a consequence of the following two lemmas.

1.2. Lemma (The main difficulty). *Let* $E = \sum \hat{e}_i$, $\dim E^{\perp} = \infty$ *and let* $\epsilon_i > 0$, $i \geq 1$. *There exist numbers* $m_{is} \geq 1$ *and projections* P_{is}, $1 \leq s \leq \sigma_i$, *satisfying*

$$\|\prod_{1\leq s\leq \sigma_i} (EP_{is}E)^{m_{is}} e_i - e_{i+1}\| < \epsilon_i, \tag{1}$$

and all projections $\{P_{is}; 1 \leq s \leq \sigma_i,\ i \geq 1\}$ *can be ordered into a decreasing sequence* $P_1 \geq P_2 \geq \dots$, *and* $\dim P_1^{\perp} = \infty$, $\dim(P_m - P_{m+1}) < \infty$, $m \geq 1$.

2010 AMS subject classification: 47H09.

Research supported by grant N N201 605840.

1.3. Lemma (cf. Lemma 2 in [1]). *For any projections* $P_1 \geq P_2 \geq \dots$, $\dim P_1^{\perp} = \infty$, $\dim(P_m - P_{m+1}) < \infty$, *and numbers* $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots > 0$, *there exist projection* Q *and numbers* $p_1, p_2, \dots \geq 1$ *satisfying*

$$||(P_1 Q P_1)^{p_k} - P_k|| < \delta_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

1.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is rather immediate consequence of Lemmas 1.2, 1.3. Projections P_{is} satisfying (1) can be found for $\epsilon_i = 1/4 \cdot 2^i$ and one can assume that $\dim P_1^{\perp} = \infty$ for the maximal element $P_1 = \bigvee P_{is}$. By Lemma 1.3 there exists projection Q satisfying $||P_{is} - (P_1 Q P_1)^{p_{is}}|| < \delta_{is}$ for arbitrary small $\delta_{is} > 0$, $1 \leq s \leq s_i$. Thus the estimation

$$|| \prod_{1 \leq s \leq \delta_i} (E P_{is} E)^{m_{is}} - A_i|| < 1/4 \cdot 2^i$$

can be obtained for

$$A_i = \prod_{1 \leq s \leq \sigma_i} (E (P_1 Q P_1)^{p_{is}} E)^{m_{is}}$$

if only we take δ_{is} small enough. In consequence, a relation

$$||A_{i-1} \dots A_1 e_1 - e_i|| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^i} \tag{2}$$

implies $||A_i \dots A_1 e_1 - e_{i+1}|| = ||A_i(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 e_1 - e_i) + (A_i - \prod_{1 \leq s \leq \sigma_1} (E P_{is} E)^{m_{is}}) e_i + \prod_{1 \leq s \leq \sigma_i} (E P_{is} E)^{m_{is}} e_i - e_{i+1}|| < (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^i}) + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^i} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^i} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$. The estimation (2) is also valid for $i = 1$ and thus for any $i \geq 1$. It means that $x = e_1$ and $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\} = \{E, P_1, Q\}$ an be taken.

2. The overcome of the main difficulty

The proof of Lemma 1.2 is more delicate. At first we show that it can be obtained from the following lemma (being a stronger version of Lemma 1 in [1]):

2.1. Lemma. *For any* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists* $t(\epsilon) > 0$ *such that for any projections*

$$E + G = Q_0 \geq \dots \geq Q_{t(\epsilon)} = E$$

satisfying $\dim(Q_{t-1} - Q_t) = 1$, $1 \leq t \leq t(\epsilon))$, $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$, *and for* $\eta > 0$ *there exist a unitary operator* V *and numbers* $n(1), \dots, n(t(\epsilon))$ *satisfying*

$$V Q_0^{\perp} = Q_0^{\perp},$$

$$||V-\mathbf{1}||<\eta,$$

$$||\prod_{1\le t\le t(\epsilon)}(EVQ_tV^*E)^{m(t)}e-e'||<\epsilon.$$

Passing from Lemma 2.1 to Lemma 1.2 can be simplified by a formal use of the following two lemmas. We shall need, in particular some (rather special) assumptions which quaranties the estimations

$$||(\tilde V\tilde U\tilde P\tilde U^*\tilde V^*-UPU^*)P_0||<\eta, \tag{3}$$

$$(\tilde V\tilde U\tilde Q\tilde U^*\tilde V^*-UQV)Q_0=0 \tag{4}$$

for projections $P, P_0, \tilde P, Q, Q_0, \tilde Q$ and unitary $U, \tilde U, V, \tilde V$.

2.2. Lemma. *A. If $\tilde U\tilde Q\tilde U^*=\tilde Q$, $\tilde VQ_0=VQ_0=Q_0\tilde V$, and $Q_0\tilde Q=Q$, then (4).*

B. If $\tilde UP_0=UP_0=P_0\tilde U$, $P_0\tilde P=P$, and $||\tilde VP_0-P_0||\le\eta/2$ for $\eta>0$, then (3).

Proof A. As V, V_1 coincide on the space $Q_0\mathcal{H}$ and commute with Q_0, we have

$$\tilde V\tilde U\tilde Q\tilde U^*\tilde V^*Q_0=\tilde V\tilde Q\tilde V^*Q_0=\tilde V\tilde QQ_0\tilde V^*Q_0=V_1QV_1^*Q_0.$$

B. By properties of U, U_1, P_0 we have (analogically) $\tilde U\tilde P\tilde U^*P_0=UPU^*P_0$. As $||VP_0-P_0||<\eta/2$, we have also $||V^*P_0-P_0||=||V^*(P_0-VP_0)||<\eta/2$ and, for $\tilde p=\tilde U\tilde P\tilde U^*$, $p=UPU^*$,

$$\tilde pP_0=P_0\tilde pP_0=p,$$

$$||\tilde V\tilde p\tilde V^*P_0-p||=||\tilde V\tilde p(\tilde V^*P_0-P_0)+(\tilde VP_0-P_0)\tilde pP_0+(P_0\tilde pP_0-p)||<\eta/2+\eta/2+0.$$

2.3. Lemma. *Let $A_1,\ldots,A_M$ be a system of operators in $\mathcal{H}$, $||A_m||\le 1$.*

A) If $(A_m-B_m)Q_0=0$, $A_mQ_0=Q_0A_mQ_0$, $B_m\in B(\mathcal{H})$ for $1\le m\le M$, $Q_0\in\operatorname{Proj}\mathcal{H}$ then

$$(\prod_{1\le m\le M}B_m)Q_0=(\prod_{1\le m\le M}A_m)Q_0. \tag{5}$$

B) For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\gamma>0$ such that $||(A_m-B_m)P_0||<\gamma$, $A_mP_0=P_0A_mP_0$, $||B_m||\le 1$, $B_m\in B(\mathcal{H})$ for $1\le m\le M$, $P_0\in\operatorname{Proj}\mathcal{H}$ imply

$$||(\prod_{1\le m\le M}A_m)P_0-(\prod_{1\le m\le M}B_m)P_0||<\epsilon. \tag{6}$$

Proof. A) We have $A_{M-1}\dots A_1Q_0 = Q_0A_{M-1}\dots A_1Q_1$, $B_{M-1}\dots B_1Q_0 = Q_0B_{M-1}\dots B_1Q_0$. Thus $A_{M-1}\dots A_1Q_0 = B_{M-1}\dots B_1Q_0$ implies (5), and thus (5) is proved by induction.

B) If the condition B) is valid for $M-1$ instead of M then for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\gamma < \epsilon/2$ and $||A-B|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for

$$A = (\prod_{1\le m\le M-1} A_m)P_0,$$

$$B = (\prod_{1\le m\le M-1} B_m)P_0$$

(for A_m, B_m satisfying suitable assumptions for $M-1$ instead of M).

Then $||(A_M - B_M)P_0|| < \gamma$, $||B_M|| \le 1$ imply $||A_MA - B_MB|| \le ||A_MA - A_MP_0A|| + ||A_MP_0A - B_MP_0A|| + ||B_MP_0A - B_MA|| + ||B_MA - B_MB|| < 0 + \gamma + 0 + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$. The condition B) is proved by induction.

2.4. Proof of Lemma 1.2.

Let us take numbers $s_k = t(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{2k-1})$, $t_k = t(\epsilon_{2k})$, $k \ge 1$, according to Lemma 2.1, and mutually orthogonal projections $E; F_1, F_2, \dots, G_1, G_2, \dots$ satisfying

$$\dim(E + \sum(F_k + G_k))^{\perp} = \infty,$$

$$E = \sum_{i\ge 1} \hat{e}_i, \qquad (e_i)\text{– O.N. system},$$

and such that

$$\dim(P^k_{s-1} - P^k_s) = \dim(Q^k_{t-1} - Q^k_t) = 1 \tag{13}$$

for some projections

$$\begin{aligned} D_k + F_k = P^k_0 \ge \dots \ge P^k_{s_k} = D_k, \quad D_k = \hat{e}_{2k-1} + \hat{e}_{2k},\\ E_k + G_k = Q^k_0 \ge \dots \ge Q^k_{t_k} = E_k, \quad E_k = \hat{e}_{2k} + \hat{e}_{2k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then for fixed $k \ge 1$ and $\eta_k > 0$ (defined later), one can find a unitary operator V_k and numbers $n(k,t) \ge 1$ satisfying

$$|| \prod_{1\le t\le t_k} (E_k(V_kQ^k_tV^*_k)E_k)^{n(k,t)} e_{2k} - e_{2k+1}|| < \epsilon_{2k}, \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_k Q_0^{k\perp} &= Q_0^{k\perp}, \\ ||V_k - \mathbf{1}|| &< \eta_k. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Analogically, one can find a unitary U_k and numbers $m(k,s) \geq 1$ satisfying

$$|| \prod_{1 \leq s \leq s_k} (D_k(U_k P_s^k U_k^*) D_k)^{m(k,s)} e_{2k-1} - e_{2k}|| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{2k-1}, \tag{8}$$

$$U_k P_0^{k\perp} = P_0^{k\perp}.$$

In particular, we have well defined unitary operators

$$\tilde{U} = \prod_{k \geq 1} U_k, \qquad \tilde{V} = \prod_{k \geq 1} V_k,$$

and

$$\tilde{U} P_0^k = U_k P_0^k = P_0^k \tilde{U}, \quad \tilde{V} Q_0 = V_k Q_0^k = Q_0^k \tilde{V},$$

$$||\tilde{V} P_0^k - P_0^k|| \leq \eta_{k-1} \vee \eta_k,\ k \geq 1 \text{ (assuming that } \eta_0 = 0).$$

The last estimation is a consequence of $||\tilde{V}(Q_0^k \vee Q_0^{k-1}) - Q_0^k \vee Q_0^{k-1}|| \leq \eta_{k-1} \vee \eta_k$, $P_0^k \leq Q_0^k \vee Q_0^{k-1} + F_k,\ k \geq 1$, where we put $Q_0^0 = 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{V} F_k = F_k$ (as $F_k \leq Q_0^{l\perp}$ and $V_l F_k = F_k$ for $l, k \geq 1$).

Now we pass to a system of projections, which can be ordered into a decreasing sequence:

$$\tilde{P}_s^k = P_s^k + \sum_{l \geq k+1} P_0^l,$$

$$\tilde{Q}_t^k = P_0^k + Q_t^k - E_k + \sum_{l \geq k+1} P_0^l.$$

Indeed we have

$$\tilde{Q}_0^1 \geq \cdots \geq Q_{t_1}^1 \geq \tilde{P}_0^1 \geq \cdots \geq \tilde{P}_{s_1}^1 \geq \ldots \\ \cdots \geq \tilde{Q}_0^k \geq \tilde{Q}_{t_k}^k \geq \tilde{P}_0^k \geq \cdots \geq \tilde{P}_{s_k}^k \geq \ldots \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\tilde{U} \tilde{Q}_t^k \tilde{U}^* = \tilde{Q}_t^k,$$

$$Q_0^k \tilde{Q}_t^k = Q_t^k, \qquad P_0^k \tilde{P}_s^k = P_s^k.$$

Lemma 2.2 A. gives

$$(\hat{Q}_t^k - V_k Q_t^k V_k^*) Q_0^k = 0,$$

for $\hat{Q}_t^k = \tilde{V}\tilde{U}\tilde{Q}_t^k\tilde{U}^*\tilde{V}^*$, and Lemma 2.2 B. gives

$$||(\hat{P}_s^k - U_k P_s^k U_k^*)P_0^k|| < 2(\eta_{k-1} \vee \eta_k)$$

for $\hat{P}_s^k = \tilde{V}\tilde{U}\tilde{P}_s^k\tilde{U}^*\tilde{V}^*$.

Now we use Lemma 2.3 A) with A_m being $V_k Q_t^k V_k^*$ or E_k, B_m being $\hat{Q}_t^k$ or E (respectively), and this gives

$$(\prod_{1 \le t \le t_k} (E\hat{Q}_t^k E)^{n(k,t)})Q_0^k = (\prod_{1 \le t \le t_k} (E_k V_k Q_t^k V_k^* E_k)^{n(k,t)})Q_0^k. \tag{9}$$

Analogically, Lemma 2.3 B), with A_m being $U_k P_s^k U_k^*$ or D_k, B_m being $\hat{P}_s^k$ or E gives

$$\begin{aligned} &||(\prod_{1 \le s \le s_k} (E\hat{P}_s^k E)^{m(k,s)})P_0^k \\ &-(\prod_{1 \le s \le s_k} (D_k U_k P_s^k U_k^* D_k)^{m(k,s)})P_0^k|| < \epsilon_{2k-1}/2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

if only $\eta_{k-1} \vee \eta_k \le \gamma_k$ for suitable small $\gamma_k > 0$.

Now it is a good moment to define numbers η_k, such that all relations (7), (8), (9), (10) can be satisfied. We recall that, for a sequence ϵ_i, $i \ge 1$, the numbers s_k, t_k are given by Lemma 2.1. Then operators U_k and numbers $m(k,s)$, $1 \le s \le s_k$, satisfying (8) can be immediately found (for any system P_s^k).

Then we take $\gamma_k = \gamma$, given by Lemma 2.3 B) for $\epsilon = \epsilon_{2k-1}/2$, and for M being a number of terms in the product $\prod_{1 \le s \le s_k}(D_k R_s^k D_k)^{m(k,s)}$ (with $R_s^k = U_k P_s^k U_k^*$). We put $\eta_k = \gamma_k \wedge \gamma_{k+1}$, $k \ge 1$, and take operators V_k satisfying (7), (12), given by Lemma 2.1.

The relation (9) can be obtained immediately and (10) can be also obtain as a consequence of $\gamma_k = \eta_k \vee \eta_{k-1}$, $k \ge 1$ ($\eta_0 = 0$).

The formula (1) is a consequence of (7), (8) and (9), (10), after the following change of notations

$$P_{2k-1,s} = \hat{P}_s^k, \qquad 1 \le s \le \sigma_{2k-1} := s_k,$$

$$P_{2k,t} = \hat{Q}_t^k, \qquad 1 \le t \le \sigma_{2k} := t_k.$$

Moreover by (11), we have a decreasing ordering

$$\begin{aligned}(P_m) = (P_{2,0}, \ldots, P_{2,\sigma_2}; P_{1,0}, \ldots, P_{1,\sigma_1}; \ldots \\ \ldots; P_{2k,0}, \ldots, P_{2k,\sigma_{2k}}; P_{2k-1,0}, \ldots, P_{2k-1,\sigma_{2k-1}}; \ldots)\end{aligned}$$

and $\dim(P_m - P_{m+1}) = 1$ or 2, by (13), (14). Using once more a definition of $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}$ we have

$$\hat{Q}_0^2 = \tilde{V}\tilde{U}Q_0^2\tilde{U}^*\tilde{V}^* = Q_0^2,$$

because $Q_0^2 = E + \sum(F_k + G_k)$, and

$$\dim P_{2,0}^{\perp} = \dim \hat{Q}_0^{2\perp} = \infty.$$

The proof is finished.

Referencers

[1] A. Paszkiewicz, *The Amemiya-Ando conjecture falls*, preprint.